UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATIÓN NO.
10/660,002	09/11/2003	Jurgen Fortin	6527-17US (111371)	5919
570 · AKIN GUMP S	7590 10/05/2007 STRAUSS HAUER & FEL	EXAMINER		
ONE COMMERCE SQUARE			COHEN, LEE S	
2005 MARKET PHILADELPH	F STREET, SUITE 2200 IA PA 19103		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3739	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/05/2007	· PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/660,002	FORTIN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
,	Lee S. Cohen	3739				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
•	his action is non-final.	•				
, ——·						
closed in accordance with the practice unde						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application	on.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.	·				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a		o by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	•					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	ection is required if the drawing	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	riority documents have bee	en received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bur						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	·					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	o(s)/Mail Date If Informal Patent Application					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/11/03. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/660,002

Art Unit: 3739

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 1 – it is unclear what is meant by recessing of connection straps...facing away from the support surface in lines 9-11.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hays (4,733,670) in view of Engel (4,539,996) and Netherly et al (5,836,942). The basic electrode is disclosed by Hays in Figure 2 as described at column 2, lines 18-61. The electrode of Hays fails to disclose the electrode having particular structural features (i.e., conductive adhesive on the aluminum, protective covering, and connector structure) as well as the particular dimensions. Engel discloses all of the structural features for such electrodes in Figures 1 and 2 (column 5, lines 4-50) to have been well known in the art. Further, Netherly et al disclose the particular connector structure for an electrode pair. Given these teachings, it would have been obvious to the skilled artisan to use such conventional features in Hays to effect superior signal detection

Application/Control Number: 10/660,002

Art Unit: 3739

and electrode protection since such modifications would yield predictable results. Further, it is clear from the structure and placement of the electrodes in Hays that some of the claimed dimensions would be clearly met; however, the particular dimensions would, in any event, have been obvious to the skilled artisan to select to optimize performance of the electrode given the basic teaching of Hays.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lee S. Cohen whose telephone number is 571-272-4763. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:00-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda Dvorak can be reached on 571-272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/660,002

Art Unit: 3739

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Lee S. Cohen
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3739

LSC August 30, 2007